EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DEPARTMENT STATE OF WASHINGTON

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

of

UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE RULES
STAKEHOLDER MEETING

Date and Location

July 14, 2004 Wednesday, 1:30 p.m. Employment Security Department Maple Leaf Conference RM 212 Maple Park, 2nd Floor Olympia, Washington 98506

JUANI TA

BE IT REMEMBERED, that an Unemployment Insurance Rules stakeholder meeting was held at the location and time as set forth above. The Employment Security Department was represented by LARRY OLINE, Acting Rules Coordinator;

MYERS, Rules Coordinator; and SUSAN HARRIS was also present.

Reported by: Marci e L. Johnson, CCR (Li cense #2744)

EXCEL COURT REPORTING 16022-17th Avenue Court East Tacoma, WA 98445-3310 (253) 536-5824

1 INDEX

040714ES. TXT July 14, 2004 - Tumwater Page No. Di scussi on No Break/Recess

19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
			2

1 PROCEEDI NGS

2

MR. OLINE: All right. Pursuant to authority given to us under RCW 50.12.010, RCW 50.12.040, Chapter 42.30 of the RCW, which is the Open Public Meetings Act and Chapter 34.05 RCW, the Administrative Procedures Act, this hearing is Page 4

- 7 hereby convened beginning at, it looks like, 1:42, July 14,
- 8 2004. And this is called the Maple Leaf Conference Room,
- 9 Second floor of the Employment Security Department, 212
- 10 Maple Park, Olympia, Washington.
- And this hearing is convened to consider testimony
- 12 concerning only the following rule-making proposal: The
- 13 unemployment insurance rules related to employer accounts,
- 14 employer reporting requirements and penalties, conditions
- 15 for relieve of benefits charging, and charges to the
- 16 separating employer.
- Notice of this hearing was published in the Washington
- 18 State Register on May 19, 2004, as No. WSR 04-10-114.
- 19 Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR 03-14-141.
- 20 And it was sent to interested parties on June 17, 2004.
- 21 My name is Larry Oline. I am the rules coordinator for
- 22 the State of Washington Employment Security Department. I'm

- 23 representing Commissioner Sylvia P. Mundy as hearing officer
- 24 presiding at this rule-making hearing.
- 25 Attending for Employment Security -- why don't we just

- 1 have you introduce yourselves.
- 2 MS. HARRIS: I'm Susan Harris with the unemployment
- 3 insurance policy unit.
- 4 MS. MYERS: My name is Juanita Myers, and I'm the rules
- 5 coordinator for the unemployment insurance division.
- 6 MR. FAJARDO: Arnold Fajardo with the contracts office.
- 7 MR. OLINE: I don't know if you all want to introduce
- 8 yourselves? I guess we could start right here.
- 9 MS. RYAN: I'm Mary Beth Ryan with Integrated Claims
- 10 Management.

- 11 MR. GONZALEZ: Ray Gonzal ez, Boei ng Company.
- MS. GEE: I'm Jan Gee, and I represent the Washington
- 13 Retail Association and the Washington Food Industry.
- MR. McBRIDE: My name is Tom McBride. I'm with the
- 15 Association of Washington Business.
- 16 MR. RAFFAELL: Norm Raffaell of Weyerhaeuser Company.
- 17 MR. TUVEY: Dale Tuvey with United Claims Management.
- 18 MS. BRACKENBURY: I'm Amy Brackenbury with the Building
- 19 Industries Association of Washington.
- 20 MR. OLINE: Okay. If you have not already done so, and
- 21 I believe most of you have, please sign in on the hearing
- 22 attendance log that we have over there, and make sure that
- 23 you provide all the information requested in the blanks.
- The attendance log is kept as a permanent record of
- 25 this hearing. Only those persons who have provided the

- 1 information in the attendance log will be called to give
- 2 testimony in this hearing.
- This hearing is convened to consider written
- 4 submissions and oral testimony presented on the proposal.
- 5 It will consist of two parts. First, a brief technical
- 6 presentation on the proposal; and second, oral testimony.
- 7 Before the agency files the final rule with the code
- 8 reviser, a concise explanatory statement of the agency's
- 9 reasoning for adopting the rule, including a summary in
- 10 response to all comments received, will be sent to the
- 11 commissioner and placed in a permanent rule-making file.
- 12 Copies will be available upon request.
- Also please be advised that this hearing is being
- 14 recorded and will become part of the official rule-making

- 15 file.
- 16 For the technical presentation, at this time I would
- 17 like to ask Juanita Myers to briefly explain the proposal.
- 18 MS. MYERS: Thank you very much.
- 19 This hearing is on the rules implementing tax portions
- 20 of 2nd Engrossed Senate Bill 6097, which was passed by the
- 21 legislature last year. This bill contains substantive
- 22 changes to the unemployment insurance program, including
- 23 establishing new tax rates for employers.
- The regulations in brief provide: First, the revised
- 25 law modifies the contribution rate to be paid by successor

5

1 employers. WAC 192-300-050 defines the term "simultaneous"

- 2 acquisition" as it pertains to predecessor/successor
- 3 relationships.
- 4 The legislation also increases the penalties for
- 5 employers who do not submit timely and complete tax and wage
- 6 reports in the format prescribed by the department.
- 7 WAC 192-310-010 is amended to clarify the acceptable format
- 8 employers may use when filing their quarterly tax and wage
- 9 reports.
- 10 WAC 192-310-025 is amended to clarify how payments
- 11 received from employers without a tax report will be
- 12 applied. New fees imposed by the legislation, which are the
- 13 costs of audit and collection and penalties for willful
- 14 misrepresentation of payroll, are now included in the list
- 15 of payments.
- WAC 192-310-030 specifies the penalties for employers
- 17 who file tax and wage reports that are incomplete, late, or
- 18 in an incorrect format. The penalty for a late report is

- 19 \$25. Penalties for a report that is incomplete or in an
- 20 incorrect format are \$250 or 10 percent of the quarterly
- 21 contributions, whichever is less. If no tax is due, the
- 22 penalty for an incomplete report ranges from \$75 to \$250,
- 23 and the penalty for incorrect format ranges from \$150 to
- 24 \$250. The rule also clarifies that the penalty for an
- 25 employer who knowingly misrepresents the amount of his or

- 1 her payroll is 10 times the difference between the amount
- 2 paid and the amount due, plus the amount that should have
- 3 been paid.
- 4 WAC 192-320-070 is amended to clarify the conditions
- 5 under which an employer may request relief of benefit

- 6 charges due to a voluntary quit.
- 7 The law as amended provides for some situations in
- 8 which 100 percent of benefit charges will be assessed
- 9 against the separating employer, rather than shared
- 10 proportionately among all base period employers. A new
- 11 section, WAC 192-320-075, is adopted to clarify this section
- 12 of the law.
- 13 Finally, the law requires an employer who has knowingly
- 14 misrepresented the amount of his or her payroll to reimburse
- 15 the department for its reasonable expenses in auditing the
- 16 employer's books and collecting sums that are owed. A new
- 17 section, WAC 192-340-100, lists the audit expenses the
- 18 department may seek to collect from the employer in this
- 19 situation.
- 20 Other information: The department has determined that
- 21 changes to other rules or statutes would not achieve the
- 22 same regulatory objective.

- The language of the proposed rules has been shared with
- 24 the US Department of Labor. No other state agencies deal
- 25 with the subject of the rule.

- 1 The department has chosen a reasonable, cost effective
- 2 manner of achieving the regulatory objective of the rule.
- 3 There are no anticipated environment consequences
- 4 associated with this rule.
- 5 There are no anticipated fiscal consequences associated
- 6 with this rule.
- 7 The proposed regulations don't impose more than minor
- 8 costs on businesses in general or small business in
- P particular. Any costs to employers result from the

- 10 legislation establishing the changes to the unemployment
- 11 compensation program rather than from these regulations.
- 12 Five meetings with stakeholders were held during the
- 13 period August 2003 through January 2004. Additional
- 14 opportunities to provide written input were also provided.
- 15 All input has been considered during the formulation of
- 16 these regulations.
- 17 As a side note, I just wanted to point out that we may
- 18 be looking at a minor clarification to one of the rules. We
- 19 have not yet decided. It was recently brought to our
- 20 attention that RCW 50.29.021 may contain what -- it could
- 21 have been a scrivener's error, or it might have been
- 22 deliberate. We are not certain.
- The prior law which related to relief of benefit
- 24 charges for employers provided that employers could get
- 25 automatic noncharging -- the last employer would be

- 1 automatically noncharged if the individual was allowed
- 2 benefits if they voluntarily quit work for a reason not
- 3 attributable to the employer or they were discharged for
- 4 misconduct.
- 5 The new statute references the same two statutes 050,
- 6 which is the voluntary quit law, and 060, which was the old
- 7 misconduct statute. It doesn't refer to the new misconduct
- 8 statue, which is RCW 50.20.066. So the law that provides
- 9 automatic noncharging to employers no longer refers to the
- 10 new misconduct statute. It only refers to the old
- 11 misconduct statute, which is no longer in effect for new
- 12 claims.
- So we don't know that it was intentional that employers

- 14 would be charged for people for misconduct or if that -- and
- 15 they could still apply for relief, but there's a difference
- 16 between automatic noncharging and application for relief of
- 17 benefit charging. So we are still researching that. And if
- 18 we are able to determine that it was a scrivener's error, we
- 19 may make a minor correction to the rule.
- 20 Okay, yes.
- 21 MR. RAFFAELL: If you don't, will you send a form that
- 22 indicates that if you want to get relief it's necessary for
- 23 the employer to request relief?
- MS. MYERS: Yes.
- MR. RAFFAELL: Okay.

1 MS. MYERS: Thank you.

Page 16

- 2 MR. OLINE: Now, at this time I would like to hear
- 3 testimony from those who provided that on the attendance log
- 4 here. And let me know if I have this information correct on
- 5 here.
- 6 First off providing testimony is Dale Tuvey from United
- 7 Claims Management; isn't that correct?
- 8 MR. TUVEY: That is correct. Well, I'm going to go
- 9 through these various sections, and I will try to reference
- 10 the section number as I'm going so --
- 11 I will start with the short little one here. This is
- 12 relating to employer reporting requirements, penalties,
- 13 conditions for relief of benefit charging and charges to the
- 14 separating employer. And I have to apologize. Some of
- 15 these may be in the form of questions as much as they are
- 16 comments, just to get a little bit of understanding
- 17 especially with a couple of these early ones. I'm looking

- 18 at WAC 192-320-075. Page 5, isn't it?
- 19 MS. MYERS: Yes.
- 20 MR. TUVEY: The thing starts out and it says, "If a
- 21 claimant voluntarily quits work to accept a job with a new
- 22 employer..." now, it with some frequency happens that an
- 23 employee will tell the employer that they are quitting work
- 24 to go to work for a new employer, but by the time they get
- 25 down to the employment office they all of a sudden have a

1 different reason. I think there could be some clarification

- 2 as to, you know, Is this to the employer, or is this to the
- 3 department, or is this based on the department's
- 4 investigation and a factfinding decision that, in fact, you
- 5 know, what their reason was? Because as I say, that happens

- 6 with some degree of frequency that it's an easy way for the
- 7 employee to say, "I'm quitting. I got another job." And it
- 8 turns out there may be something else. So think that bears
- 9 some scrutiny.
- 10 In addition, in both that first section and the second
- 11 section, it requires the new employer to be a base year
- 12 employer. And there are a very large number of times when
- 13 the new employment is, if you want to call it, an employment
- 14 of convenience for a very short period of time that the
- 15 person winds up -- the new employer winds up not being a
- 16 base year employer. So I think those references to it being
- 17 a base year employer -- that reference that the quit needs
- 18 to be a base year employer needs to be deleted in all those
- 19 sections.
- That's all I have on that section.
- 21 When you get to the section regarding the benefits, I

- 22 guess, we'll take next. Let's see.
- First, I'm going to go over to page 7. This is
- 24 WAC 192-130-080.
- MS. MYERS: I'm sorry. That's for the next hearing

- 1 that's at 2:30.
- 2 MR. TUVEY: Oh, that's the next hearing. All right.
- 3 So what else do you have? Do you have job search under this
- 4 one?
- 5 MS. MYERS: That's the next one.
- 6 MR. TUVEY: Oh, all right.
- 7 MS. MYERS: This is just the tax rules right now.
- 8 MR. TUVEY: Oh, then I've got nothing else to say.
- 9 MR. OLINE: Well, next it looks like -- well, Juanita,
 Page 20

- 10 you wanted to provide some comments.
- 11 MS. MYERS: I did.
- 12 MR. OLINE: And you did that.
- 13 And the next, Norm Raffaell. Did I pronounce that
- 14 right?
- 15 MR. RAFFAELL: Yeah.
- 16 MR. OLINE: With the AWB.
- 17 MR. RAFFAELL: Right. I'm with AWB. I'm with their
- 18 unemployment committee, and that's what I'm speaking as now.
- 19 And I think Dale also was with the AWB.
- We had submitted some written proposals, and we wanted
- 21 to still stand by those. And I believe Tom -- I don't know
- 22 if you've got copies of that. I believe they probably are
- 23 part of the record already. Do you need another copy?
- 24 MS. MYERS: No. We have them.
- MR. RAFFAELL: Okay. And we just felt that those were

- 1 important changes and realistic changes.
- I would like to thank you now, as well as under the
- 3 benefits section, for the hard work the two of you and
- 4 anybody working with you has done. It's taken a long time.
- 5 I don't know whether it's been ten months or a year that
- 6 you've been working on this. And you have done a very good
- 7 job. I have been to a number of your hearings, and it is
- 8 not an easy task. And for you to persevere and still be
- 9 probably in your normal senses, I think is wonderful. And I
- 10 thank you for all the hard work you've done. I'm sure
- 11 everybody here would agree. But that's all I have.
- MR. OLINE: Okay. Is that all?
- MR. RAFFAELL: Yes.

- 14 MR. OLINE: Okay, thank you.
- 15 Just joining us is Dan or Don?
- 16 MR. SEXTON: Dan.
- 17 MR. OLINE: Dan Sexton from the WSBCTC.
- 18 MR. SEXTON: Washington State Building Construction
- 19 Trades Counsel. How are you doing?
- 20 MR. OLINE: Great. You wanted to provide some
- 21 testimony?
- MR. SEXTON: Actually, I was here for the next hearing.
- 23 Well, as long as I'm here, yeah, of course I want to provide
- 24 some testimony.
- You know, I apologize that I just started work on this

- 1 package this morning and have not -- been busy. Have not
- 2 even had the chance to look at this yet.
- 3 It just comes to mind that -- I know that there's
- 4 successor language now in, what, three different places, you
- 5 know, at L & I and at the Department of Revenue and at ESD.
- 6 And I don't know to what extent we've tried to streamline
- 7 that or coordinate that or put those pieces together or if
- 8 we've been looking at those or they've been looking at this,
- 9 or vice versa. But it's not too late to do that. And so
- 10 that would be my only suggestion, my only comment.
- 11 MR. OLINE: Okay.
- That's all that have provided comments that they wanted
- 13 to provide testimony.
- 14 MS. MYERS: Okay.
- 15 MR. OLINE: I would like to wrap up.
- This hearing was convened to consider testimony on the
- 17 amended rules to define terms regarding ownership transfer,

- 18 and clarity in declaring employer reporting requirements,
- 19 and to specify the order in which employer payments will be
- 20 applied.
- 21 All oral testimony presented here and written
- 22 submissions will become part of the official record. The
- 23 deadline for submitting written comments will be 5:00 p.m.
- 24 today.
- MS. MYERS: Excuse me. We will extend that out a week.
 - 14

- 1 MR. OLINE: Oh, you want to?
- 2 MS. MYERS: Yes.
- 3 MR. OLINE: Okay.
- 4 MS. MYERS: To next week. Next Wednesday at 5:00 p.m.

- 5 MR. OLINE: Next Wednesday. And the date?
- 6 MS. MYERS: The 21st of July.
- 7 MR. SEXTON: Next Wednesday.
- 8 MR. OLINE: Yeah, July 21, 5:00 p.m.
- 9 And you should address those to Juani ta Myers, here, at
- 10 Washington Employment Security Department, 212 Maple Park,
- 11 P.O. Box 9046, Mailstop 6000, Olympia, Washington
- 12 98507-9046.
- 13 The final decision regarding adoption of this proposed
- 14 rule-making will be made after all testimony and written
- 15 comments have been considered.
- Does anybody at this time wish to offer further
- 17 testimony concerning the proposed rule-making before us
- 18 today?
- 19 If not, on behalf of the commissioner, Sylvia P. Mundy,
- 20 thank you for participating in this hearing. And this
- 21 hearing is adjourned at 2:02, July 14, 2004.

22	(Whereupon, at 2:02 p.m.,		
23	the proceedings concluded.)		
24			
25			
	15		
1	CERTIFICATE		
2			
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON)		
4) ss. County of Kitsap)		
5			
6 7	I, Marcie L. Johnson, a Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify:		
8	That the foregoing transcript of proceedings was taken stenographically before me and transcribed under my direction; that the transcript is an accurate transcript of		

	9 10 11	the proceedings insofar as proceedings were audible, clear and intelligible; that the proceedings and resultant foregoing transcript were done and completed to the best of my abilities for the conditions present at the time of the proceedings;		
	12 13	That I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any party to this matter, and that I am not financially interested in said matter or the outcome thereof;		
	14 15	affixed my official seal on this 14th day of August, 2004,		
	16			
	17			
	18 19	NOTARY PUBLIC in and for the State of Washington,		
resi di ng	20	at Port Orchard.		
	20	(Li c. #2744)		
	22			
	23			
	24			